



PIA 2005 – Workshop on New Technologies for Personalized Information Access

WordNet-based User Profiles for Semantic Personalization

Giovanni Semeraro, Marco Degemmis, Pasquale Lops, <u>Ignazio Palmisano</u>



LACAM – Knowledge Acquisition and Machine Learning Lab Department of Computer Science – University of Bari

July 24th, 2005, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK



- Introduction
- Personalized Access
- WordNet-based profiles
- Experiments
- Final Remarks

Final Remarks

Today's Information Society

Problems...

- Explosion of irrelevant information
- Users overloaded by this information



...and consequences

- Searching is time consuming
- Need for intelligent solutions to support users







Introduction

WordNet-based profiles

Learning User Profiles as a Text Categorization Problem

Preferences

```
Arts & Photography
Children's books
Computers & Internet
```

content-based recommendations by learning from TEXT and users' ratings on items





vedding ay with Editorial Reviews

chen & Amazon.com

Written for the experienced Java developer, *Swing* provides an in-depth guide to getting the most out of Sun's Swing/JFC user interface classes. Mixing real-world code examples and expert advice on advanced features, this book shows how to make use of this powerful library effectively within your own projects.

The best thing about this text has to be its sample programs, many of which incorporate other Java APIs to do "real" work. For example, a demo of the scroll pane Swing component uses other JFC classes to display JPG images. For working with lists, the authors show how to process .ZIP files in Java. For demonstrating table programming, there's coverage of JDBC to connect to databases. Other standout code samples include a working FTP client and a fully functional .RTF word processor. (Many of these examples are enhanced in separate steps, showing off new Swing classes and features along the way.) The authors do a particularly good job of annotating code with clear explanations referenced with numbered bullets that point out important lines of code.

L' A guide ogramme <u>our guide</u> <u>our guide</u> <u>our guide</u> <u>our guide</u> <u>aur guide</u> <u>aur guide</u> <u>aur guide</u> <u>aur guide</u> The other noteworthy feature here is the material on extending basic Swing functionality through custom code. (To use Swing effectively, you definitely need to be able to customize its classes. The authors show you how.) There are examples for enhancing Swing with custom layout managers and numerous samples that extend trees and tables, and even a section on the basics of creating new pluggable look and feel (PLAF) modules for Swing.

Research Goal

Intelligent Information Access =

- 3. Personalized Access by user profiles +
- 4. Semantic Access by concept identification in documents

USER PROFILE: A STRUCTURED REPRESENTATION OF USER INTERESTS AND PREFERENCES



- Automated induction of user profiles by means of supervised machine learning techniques
- 2 Taking into account the meaning of the words

Final Remarks

Intelligent Personalized Information Access



Movie Recommending on the Web



Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)

- Many meanings for polisemous words, known as senses
- One sense at a time is used in a specific context.
- **3** Deciding which sense to use is Word Sense Disambiguation

Approaches to WSD

- Knowledge-based: uses Machine Readable Dictionaries
- Corpus-based: uses sense-tagged corpus

WordNet

- Lexical reference database whose design is inspired by current psycholinguistic theories of human lexical memory
 - The work started in 1985 by a group of psychologists and linguists at Princeton University
- English nouns, verbs, adverbs and adjectives are organized into SYNonym SETs, each representing one underlying lexical concept
- 8 Relations among synsets can be used to engineer a change of representation in text data by transforming vectors of words into vectors of word meanings
 - The synonymy relation can be used to map words with similar meanings together
 - Hypernymy (corresponding to the IS–A relation) can be used to generalize noun and verb meanings to a higher level of abstraction

Experiments

 \triangleright The similarity of the synsets *a* and *b*

Final Remarks

Synset Semantic Similarity

- 24: function SINSIM(a, b)
- 25: $N_p \leftarrow \text{the number of nodes in path } p \text{ from } a \text{ to } b$
- 26: $D \leftarrow$ maximum depth of the taxonomy
- 27: $r \leftarrow -log(N_p/2D)$
- 28: return r

29: end function

SINSIM(cat,mouse) =

 $-\log(5/32) = 0.806$





Semantic Indexing

- A document *d* is mapped into a list of WordNet synsets following these steps:
- Each monosemous word w in a slot of a document d is mapped into the corresponding WordNet synset;
- For each couple of words <*noun*,*noun*> or <*adjective*,*noun*>, a search in WordNet is made in order to verify if at least one synset exists for the bigram <*w*₁,*w*₂>. In the positive case, WSD algorithm is applied on the bigram, otherwise it is applied separately on *w*₁ and *w*₂, using all words in the slot as the context *C* of *w*;
- Each polysemous unigram w is disambiguated, using all words in the slot as the context C of w.

<u>Experiments</u>

Experimental Evaluation

Extended Eachmovie

- Internet Movie Database
- 2 10-fold stratified cross-validation
 - Precision, Recall, F-measure, NDPM
- Ovie relevant if rating >2
 - Rocchio: Cosine Similarity (positive/negative profile)
- Experiments: BOW-generated profiles vs. BOS-generated profiles
 - Wilcoxon signed rank test
 - Low number of independent trials
 - Classification for each genre is a trial
 - Significance level p < 0.05

The EachMovie Dataset

- Project conducted by Compaq Research Centre (1996-1997)
- Oataset of user-movie ratings
 - About 2.8 millions ratings
 - Over 72,000 users
 - 1,628 items (movies) subdivided in 10 categories
 - Discrete rating between 0 and 5
 - Movies content crawled from the Internet Movie Database (IMDb)
- 3 10 movie categories
 - ✓ 933 randomly selected users
 - 100 users for each category, only for Category 2 Animation, 33 users selected
 - Each user rated between 30 and 100 movies

Extended Eachmovie (ratings+content)

ld Genre	Genre	#Rated Movies	%POS	%NEG	
1	Action	4,474	72.05	27.95	
2	Animation	1,103	56.67	43.33	
3	Art_Foreign	4,246	76.21	23.79	
4	Classic	5,026	91.73	8.27	
5	Comedy	4,714	63.46	36.54	
6	Drama	4,880	76.24	23.76	
7	Family	3,808	63.71	36.29	
8	Horror	3,631	59.89	40.11	
9	Romance	3,707	72.97	27.03	
10	Thriller	3,709	71.94	28.06	
		39,298	71.84	28.16	





Final Remarks

Bag of Synsets

Bag of Words

ld Movie	Word Form	Occurrence
31	aaron	1
67	murder	1
1134	roll	3
1134	wheel	2
1161	zoom	1

Bag of Synsets

ld Movie	Word Form	ld Synset	Occurrence
31	aaron	8844021	1
67	murder	6712568	1
1134	roll	2051720	5
	•••		
1161	zoom	1618551	1

#Features=107.990

- #Features=172.296
- **1** 38% Reduction of features representing movies in the EachMovie dataset
 - Mainly on slots containing proper names
- Recognition of bigrams
- **3** Synonyms represented by the same synsets

Semantic Profiles Evaluation

ld	Precision		Recall		F 1		NDPM	
	BOW	BOS	BOW	BOS	BOW	BOS	BOW	BOS
1	0.72	0.75	0.82	0.86	0.75	0.79	0.46	0.44
2	0.65	0.64	0.66	0.66	0.64	0.63	0.34	0.38
3	0.77	0.85	0.79	0.86	0.77	0.84	0.46	0.48
4	0.92	0.94	0.94	0.96	0.93	0.94	0.45	0.43
5	0.66	0.69	0.72	0.75	0.67	0.70	0.44	0.46
6	0.78	0.79	0.84	0.87	0.80	0.81	0.45	0.45
7	0.68	0.74	0.75	0.84	0.69	0.77	0.41	0.40
8	0.64	0.69	0.74	0.82	0.67	0.73	0.42	0.44
9	0.73	0.76	0.79	0.81	0.74	0.77	0.48	0.48
10	0.74	0.75	0.85	0.84	0.77	0.78	0.45	0.44
Me an	0.73	0.76	0.78	0.83	0.74	0.84	0.44	0.44

- Improvement in precision (+3%) and recall (+5%)
- **2** The BOS model outperforms the BOW model specifically on datasets:
 - ✓ 3 (+8% of precision, +7% of recall)
 - ✓ 7 (+6% of precision, +9% of recall)
 - ✓ 8 (+5% of precision, +8% of recall)
- **3** No improvement on dataset 2 (Animation)
 - Low number of rated movies
 - WSD errors (difficulty in disambiguating stories)

Conclusions & Future Works

- Extending BOW to BOS improves classification accuracy when WSD in performed on short documents
- Improved results are independent from the distribution of positive and negative examples in the dataset
- Integration of user profiles into UUCM [Metha et al. 2005]
- Ontologies and user profiles
 - Domain-specific ontologies



