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ABSTRACT
The project EXtracting Product Lines from vAriaNTs (EXPLANT) funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) is currently in its second phase. In this project, we are concerned with the stepwise migration of cloned variants into a software product line (i.e., the extractive approach of adopting systematic software reuse). While the extractive approach is the most common one in practice, many of its characteristics (e.g., processes, costs, best practices) are still unclear and tool support is limited (e.g., for feature location, refactoring, quality assurance). Within this extended abstract, we report on a selection of results we achieved in EXPLANT so far, and highlight our goals as well as opportunities for research collaborations in the second phase.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Software product lines enable developers to systematically manage and reuse software artifacts, which contribute to software features, based on an integrated platform [1, 25]. Various techniques (e.g., feature modeling [3, 24]) and tools (e.g., FeatureIDE [23]) have emerged that enable developers to cope with the complexity of software product lines. For instance, feature modeling enables developers to define a set of features and their interdependencies in a feature model. Based on this model, a customer can configure a customized software system that fulfills their specific requirements. In an automated process, developers can then derive a software product from the software platform and the given configuration.

Despite the benefits of software product lines, such as reduced development and maintenance costs [1, 25], they are rarely applied from scratch. Instead, practitioners often reuse software in ad hoc style by cloning an existing system and adapting it to new requirements (clone & own) [4]. With an increasing number of clones (resulting in a variant-rich system), this reuse strategy becomes expensive and error prone, mainly because changes must be propagated between the individual clones [20, 26]. As a result, many organizations decide to migrate towards a software product line by extracting it from the cloned systems [7], which has become the most common adoption strategy in practice [2, 9].

While being a common adoption strategy, the extractive approach still lacks advanced tooling and an empirical understanding of its characteristics. To tackle these issues, we investigate the automated, incremental integration of cloned systems into a software product line based on code-clone detection and refactorings. We argued that an extraction into a composition-based—in contrast to an annotation-based—software product line makes it immediately usable in practice, improves maintainability due to physically separated features, and guarantees to preserve semantics. However, we deviated from our initial plan, due to our findings contradicting common beliefs in research and the benefits we argued to achieve. In particular, our results show that an automatic extraction based on code-clone detection does not result in meaningful features [5, 17]. Also, surprisingly, annotations do not seem to be as problematic as often assumed in research [6, 13]. Consequently, we adapted our plan during the first and for the second phase of EXPLANT. Within the remaining paper, we summarize our key findings (cf. Section 2) and our research goals for the remaining project (cf. Section 3).

2 PROGRESS & CONTRIBUTIONS
In the following, we exemplify some of our main findings.

Feature Location. Program comprehension and especially feature location are core problems of evolving any software system. We aimed to understand how developers handle these problems based on empirical and meta studies. For example, we conducted an experiment on developers’ memory, which showed that especially authoring and repeatedly editing code facilitate remembering [19]. Moreover, we performed a literature review on manual feature location that highlights the importance of involving, supporting, and understanding developers’ activities [12].

Automated Extraction. One of our goals was to automate the extraction of a software product line from cloned systems. For this purpose, we proposed a set of refactorings that employ code-clone detection [5]. However, neither existing feature-location techniques nor code-clone detection can fully automate the extraction process. We conducted an additional case study that highlighted the differences between automated and manual feature location, indicating that full automation does not result in reasonable features [17].
Separation of Features. Researchers often argue that annotations are a poor design choice for managing variability (e.g., `#ifdef`-hel(l) [22]). In contrast, we found that they do not impact change proneness [6], are suitable for tracing features [13], and are preferred by developers to avoid scattering of fine-grained features [8, 15]. Due to these insights, we adapted our work plan to focus less on composition-based variability mechanisms.

Incremental Integration. We conducted case studies on analyzing legacy systems [16], extracting software product lines [17, 20], and combining variability mechanisms [18]. A particular result of these studies are defined processes for developing features and migrating source code. Our findings indicate that the initially intended horizontal extraction (extracting the most common parts into features) is not suitable, as clones and differences do not necessarily represent reasonable features. Consequently, we argued in favor of, and employed, a vertical extraction (incrementally integrating new variants into a common code base) [17].

3 GOALS FOR THE SECOND PHASE

In this section, we exemplify our new research goals.

We aim to tackle the automated migration and evolution of test suites to assure the quality and to facilitate testing of a software product line [10]. Besides the actual source code, most software projects comprise various additional artifacts, for example, models, documentation, and test suites. To facilitate the extraction and evolution of a software product line, automated analyses and syntheses of such artifacts are highly valuable, too. While some techniques have been proposed, for example, natural language processing of requirements documentation [21], they are often in an initial state and require more research to be applicable in practice. Particular problems that occur for the extraction are the matching of artifacts to each other and the mapping of artifacts during the migration process.

We aim to conduct and synthesize empirical studies, including analyses of version control systems (VCSs), to measure activities and assess their impact on costs [11]. It is important to understand the costs related to extracting a software product line [14]. Only with reliable empirical insights, we can initiate collaborations and show organizations that an extraction is valuable, and not an unprofitable investment. Currently, we started with empirical studies and collaborations with practitioners to gain insights into these costs, but further analyses are needed.

We aim to adapt analysis techniques for VCSs, including feature location, variability analysis, and architecture synthesis. VCSs (e.g., Git) and software-hosting platforms (e.g., GitHub) are becoming the major tools to implement and manage cloned systems. Consequently, further analyzing such systems and adapting our current techniques to their specifics is an important research goal. In contrast to completely separated clones, clones of VCSs are often lightly connected (e.g., through linked forks) and comprise additional information sources (e.g., commit messages, timestamps, diffs, and pull requests) [16].

4 CONCLUSION

The extraction and evolution of variant-rich systems, and especially software product lines, are challenging tasks. Consequently, they provide various research opportunities of which we address some within the EXPLANT project. In this extended abstract, we exemplified core contributions that we achieved in the first phase of this project, and highlighted some of our new research goals for the second phase.
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